

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.2195 of 2017

In

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 4438 of 2009

- =====
1. The Food Corporation Of India, 16-20, Barakhanmba Lane, New Delhi through the Manager.
 2. The General Manager (Bihar), Food Corporation of India, Regional Office, Patna.
 3. The Senior Regional Manager, Food Corporation of India, Arunachal Building, Exhibition Road, Patna-800001.
 4. The Area Manager, Food Corporation of India, District Office, Muzaffarpur.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The Union of India through the Secretary (Food), Ministry of Consumer Affairs and Public Distribution (Department of Food & Public Distribution), Government of India, Krishi Bhawan, New Delhi-110001.
2. M/s Riga Sugar Company Limited, At & P.O. - Riga, District- Sitamarhi through its President Sri Amar Sharma, S/o Late Major B.R. Sharma.

.... Opposite Parties

=====

with

Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.2196 of 2017

IN

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 13205 of 2010

- =====
1. The Food Corporation of India, 16-20, Barakhanmba Lane, New Delhi through the Manager.
 2. The General Manager (Bihar), Food Corporation of India, Regional Office, Patna.
 3. The Senior Regional Manager, Food Corporation of India, Arunachal Building, Exhibition Road, Patna- 800001.
 4. The Area Manager, Food Corporation of India, District Office, Muzaffarpur.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The Union of India through the Secretary (Food), Ministry of Consumer Affairs and Public Distribution (Department of Food & Public Distribution) Government of India, Krishi Bhawan, New Delhi- 110001.
2. M/s Sasa Musa Sugar Works Ltd. at & P.O. Sasa Musa, District- Gopalganj through its Factory Manager, Jamaluddin, S/o Late Nehaluddin.

.... Opposite Parties

=====

with

Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.2197 of 2017

IN

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 4439 of 2009



=====

1. The Food Corporation Of India, 16-20, Barakhanmba Lane, New Delhi through the Manager.
2. The General Manager(Bihar) Food Corporation of India, Regional Office, Patna.
3. The Senior Regional Manager, Food Corporation of India, Arunachal Building , Exhibition Road, Patna-800001
4. The Area Manager, Food Corporation of India, District Office, Muzaffarpur.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The Union of India through the Secretary (Food), Ministry of Consumer Affairs and Public Distribution (Department of Food and Public Distribution), Government of India, Krishi Bhawan, New Delhi- 110001
2. M/S Vishnu Sugar Mills Limited, P.O.- Vishnu Sugar Mills, P.S. & District- Gopalganj through its General Manager P.R.S. Panicker.

.... Opposite Parties

=====

Appearance :

(In MJC No.2195 of 2017)

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Prabhakar Tekriwal, Advocate

For the Opposite Parties : Mr. S.D Sanjay (Addl. Soc. Gen.)
Ms. Kanak Verma, CGC

For the Riga Sugar Company: Mr. Y.V. Giri, Sr. Advocate
Mr. Ashish Giri, Advocate

(In MJC No.2196 of 2017)

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Prabhakar Tekriwal, Advocate

For the Opposite Parties : Mr. S.D Sanjay (Addl. Soc. Gen.)
Ms. Kanak Verma, CGC

(In MJC No.2197 of 2017)

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Prabhakar Tekriwal, Advocate

For the Opposite Parties : Mr. S.D Sanjay (Addl. Soc. Gen.)
Ms. Kanak Verma, CGC

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE JUSTICE SMT. ANJANA MISHRA
ORAL ORDER

3 12-10-2018 The present applications for modification have been occasioned on account of the fact that the petitioners herein have prayed for extension of time granted by this Court vide order dated 27.02.2017 passed in CWJC No.4438 of 2009 giving rise to MJC No.2195 of 2017, passed in CWJC No.13205 of 2010 giving rise



to MJC No.2196 of 2017 and passed in CWJC No.4439 of 2009 giving rise to MJC No.2197 of 2017, by the 30th of September, 2017.

Learned counsel for the modification petitioners submits that the present applications have now become infructuous in view of the fact that the time prayed for therein has since lapsed and also because subsequent thereto, review applications have been preferred against the order, which is sought to be extended.

In view of the submissions advanced, the present applications are rendered infructuous and thus dismissed as infructuous.

(Anjana Mishra, J)

PNM

U			
---	--	--	--

