

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.12728 of 2016

=====

Quazi Maohammad Ali Jinnah Son of Late Quazi Shamimuddin resident of village + P.O. Barahpura, Police Station Ishakchak, District Bhagalpur Presently Working as P.G.T. Teacher, Botany Inter Level Muslim High School, Bhagalpur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Chief Secretary, Old Secretariat, Govt. of Bihar, Patna
2. The Principal Secretary, Human Resources Department, Govt. of Bihar, New Secretariat, Bailey Road, Patna
3. The Director Secondary, Human Resources Department, Govt. of Bihar, New Secretariat, Bailey Road, Patna
4. The Deputy Secretary, Human Resources Department, Govt. of Bihar, New Secretariat, Bailey Road, Patna
5. The District Education officer, Bhagalpur, District Bhagalpur
6. The Secretary, Managing Committee, Inter Level Muslim High School (Minority), Bhagalpur

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Md. Najmul Hodda, Advocate Mr. Binay Kumar, Advocate
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr. Amarendra Kumar AC to -AAG15

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR UPADHYAY
ORAL JUDGMENT

Date : 27-02-2018

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned counsel appearing on behalf of the State.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the grievance of the petitioner pertains to non-approval of the services by the respondents on the post Post Graduate Trained Teacher with effect from the date of appointment. He submits that petitioner may be permitted to file an exhaustive representation before the respondent no. 3, who is in a position to verify the



record and pass appropriate order on the representation of the petitioner.

In the event the petitioner files such representation along with a copy of this order within one month from today, the respondent no. 3 shall examine the case of the petitioner and pass appropriate order in accordance with law on the representation filed by the petitioner after verification of the relevant records within a maximum period of three months from the date of filing of the representation along with a copy of this order.

It is made clear that this court has not expressed any opinion on the merits of the case which shall be the obligation of the respondent no. 3 to examine the relevant record and pass appropriate order.

With the aforesaid, the writ petition stands disposed of.

(Anil Kumar Upadhyay, J)

spandey/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	NA
Uploading Date	01.03.2018
Transmission Date	

