

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.10333 of 2016

=====

Niraj Kumar @ Nijor Kumar, Son of Late Guna Nand Das, Resident of
Village- Ratupar, Post Office- Tajpur, Police Station- Rudrapur, District-
Madhubani

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.
2. The District Magistrate, Madhubani.
3. The District Education Officer, Madhubani.
4. The District Programmer Officer (Establishment) Madhubani.
5. The Block Development Officer, Lakhnour, District- Madhubani.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Prabhas Ranjan, Advocate
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr. Prabhat Ranjan, A.C.to G.P.6

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR UPADHYAY
ORAL ORDER

2 05-02-2018 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the counsel
appearing on behalf of the State.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the father of
the petitioner died in harness while working as assistant teacher
on 11.10.2011. Earlier he has submitted application in proper
format on 1.3.2012 and vide Annexure-4 once again he has
deposited the entire documents for consideration of his case for
compassionate appointment on 4.12.2015.

We are in the 2018 and in the matter of compassionate
appointment, respondents are required to take final decision
expeditiously in order to provide financial assistance to the
family of bread earner died in harness.



The idea behind the compassionate appointment is to provide financial assistance to tide over the crisis occasioned on account of death of bread earner. The social security measure was adopted with a view to provide immediate relief under the policy decision of the government to entertain the application for compassionate appointment only within five years from the date and as such by same analogy, the respondents are required to take final decision in the matter of compassionate appointment expeditiously.

Since father of the petitioner died in 2011, application was earlier filed on 1.3.2012 and once again application was submitted on 4.12.2015 and as such the respondents are required to take final decision expeditiously.

Accordingly, the writ petition is disposed of with direction to the respondents to take final decision with regard to the claim of the petitioner for compassionate appointment within a maximum period of sixty days from the date of receipt/production of a copy of this order.

With the aforesaid, the writ petition stands disposed of.

Ravi/-

(Anil Kumar Upadhyay, J)

U			
---	--	--	--

