

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.2063 of 2016

In

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 11651 of 2012

- =====
1. Dhiraj Kumar, Son of B.M. Singh, Resident of Sumitra Sadan, Near Deepshikha Picture Palace, Road No. 1, Vishwanath Nagar, P.O- Begusarai, P.S- Begusarai.
 2. Nawal Kishore Singh, son of Late Ramjeevan Singh, Resident of Aviation Fund Station, IOCL (M.D.) (Airport), Patna.
 3. Sanjiv Kumar, son of Shri R.R. Mishra, Resident of Aviation Fund Centre, IOCL(M.D.), Jai Prakash Narayan International Airport, Patna.
 4. Mukesh Kumar, son of Sri Bisheshwar Singh, Resident of 5th Floor, Lok Nayak Jai Prakash Bhawan, Dak Bunglow Chowk, Patna.
 5. Rama Shankar Prasad, Son of Shri S.K. Singh, Resident of Aviation Fund Centre, IOCL(M.D.), Jai Prakash Narayan International Airport, Patna.

.... Petitioners

Versus

1. The Union of India Sri Kapil Deo Tripathi, the Secretary, Ministry of Petroleum and Natural Gas.
2. Gautam Bose, the Executive Director, Regional Services, Eastern Region, Indian Oil Corporation Limited, Indian Oil Bhawan-2, Garihatt Road (S), Kolkata- 700068.
3. R.S. Dahia, the General Manager, Indian Oil Corporation Limited, Bihar State Office, 5 th floor, Lok Nayak Jai Prakash Bhawan , Dak Bunglow Road, Patna- 800001.
4. M.R. Das, the Deputy General Manager (HR), Indian Oil Corporation Limited, Indian Oil Bhawan - 2, Garihat Road (S), Kolkata- 700068.

.... Contemnors/opposite parties.

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Brisketu Sharan Pandey

For the Respondent/s : Mr. S.D Sanjay (Addl. Soc. Gen.)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJEEV RANJAN PRASAD
ORAL ORDER

4. 20-08-2018

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and

learned counsel representing the Indian Oil Corporation. It

appears that while disposing of the writ application the

learned writ court has observed as follows:

*“11. In the facts and circumstances of the case, I
am inclined to grant an opportunity to the*



petitioners to convince the Management that their cases for regularization would be covered under paragraph 50 of Uma Devi's case. In case, any such representation is made, the same would be duly considered."

Learned counsel for the Indian Oil Corporation submits that in fact the appointments have already been made and in proof thereof one copy of the letter of appointment has been placed before this court. Let it be kept on the record.

This contempt application has become infructuous. It is dismissed, accordingly.

(Rajeev Ranjan Prasad, J)

Rajeev/-

U			
---	--	--	--

