

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 1957 of 2015

=====

Md. Mikail Ahmad, Son of Khurshid Alam, Resident of Village - Kapasian, P.O. - Kopsar, P.S. - Kochas, District - Rohtas at Sasaram.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Education Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Director, Primary Education, Education Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Dy. Director, Primary Education, Education Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
4. The District Magistrate, Rohtas at Sasaram.
5. The District Programme Officer (Establishment), Rohtas at Sasaram.
6. The District Teachers Appointment Appellate Authority, Rohtas at Sasaram through its Member.
7. The Mukhiya, Gram Panchayat Raj Siwan, Block - Kargahar, District - Rohtas at Sasaram.
8. The Panchayat Secretary, Gram Panchayat Raj Siwan, Block - Kargahar, District - Rohtas at Sasaram.
9. Rahel Khatoon, Daughter of Fulamuddin Ansarai, Resident of Village - Parsion, P.S. - Kochas, District - Rohtas at Sasaram.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.

For the Respondent/s : Mr.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AHSANUDDIN AMANULLAH
ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 23-08-2018

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner; State and
respondent no. 9.

2. The petitioner has moved the Court for the following
reliefs:

*“That this is an application for issuance of
an appropriate writ(s), order(s) or direction(s)
directing the Respondent Authorities to implement the
decision of Respondent No. 6 as contained in
Annexure-6 and to reinstate the petitioner on the post
of Panchayat Teacher in terms of the decision*



already rendered by the Appellate Authority (Respondent No. 6) on 26/7/2014, in Primary School, Bairiband in Gram Panchayat Raj Simwan in the district of Rohtas at Sasaram.

And further the respondents may be directed to make payment of the arrears of salary to the petitioner which has not been paid to him w.e.f. October 2013 to till date.

AND/OR pass such other order(s) as your Lordships may deem fit and proper.”

3. After some arguments, learned counsel for the petitioner prays to withdraw the writ application.

4. In view thereof, the writ petition stands disposed off as withdrawn.

(Ahsanuddin Amanullah, J.)

P. Kumar

AFR/NAFR	
U	

