

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.371 of 2016

In

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 832 of 2015

=====

Ram Deo Mandal, Son of Late Panchu Mandal, resident of Village- Amoja,
P.O.- Pir Nagar, P.S.- Gwalpara, District- Madhepura.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.
2. Mr. Sudhir Kumar Rakesh, I.A.S., Principal Secretary, Rural Development Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. Mr. Suhal Alam, I.A.S., District Magistrate, Madhepura.
4. Mr. Ravi Kant Sharma, Deputy Development Commissioner, Madhepura Collectorate, Madhepura.
5. Mr. Mukesh Sah, Sub- Divisional Officer, Madhepura.
6. Mr. Vikash Pandey, Circle Officer, Gwalpara, District- Madhepura.
7. Mr. Sarvesh Kumar Singh, Block Development officer, Gwalpara Block, District- Madhepura.
8. Mr. Ratan Ram, Executive Engineer, Rural Development Department, Kishanganj, District- Madhepura.
9. Uday Sharma Son of (not known to the petitione) Contractor, Rural development Department, Kishanganj, District- Madhepura.
10. Mr. Pratyay Amrit, I.A.S., Managing Director, Bihar State Bridge Construction Corporation, Patna.

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Shanti Pratap, Adv.
For the Corporation : Mr. Lalit Kishore, Sr.Adv.
Dr. Anand Kumar, Adv.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJEEV RANJAN PRASAD
ORAL ORDER

4 17-09-2018 Pursuant to the order dated 08.08.2018, the Principal Secretary, Rural Development Department, Government of Bihar, Patna, the District Magistrate, Madhepura and the concerned Land Acquisition Officer as also the Managing Director, Bihar State Bridge Construction Corporation Ltd., Patna are present in person.

Learned counsel representing the opposite party



no.10 has placed before this Court a supplementary show cause and submits that in view of the order, as contained in Annexure-D to the said supplementary show cause, now the order of the learned Writ Court has been fully complied with.

Even though learned counsel for the petitioner is not fully satisfied with the kind of order passed by the Managing Director, Bihar State Bridge Construction Corporation Ltd., but after some hearing, learned counsel submits that, instead of pressing this application, he would seek liberty from this Court to challenge the said order as contained in Annexure-D to the show cause.

The application is disposed off with the liberty aforesaid.

(Rajeev Ranjan Prasad, J)

Arvind/-

U			
---	--	--	--

