

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.7924 of 2013

=====

Ravi Shankar Singh S/O Late Kalika Singh R/O Village- Rahimapur, P.S.-
Bidupur, District- Vaishali

.... Petitioner

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar Through District Magistrate, Vaishali At Hajipur
2. The Certificate Officer, Vaishali At Hajipur
3. The Block Development Officer, Bidupur, District- Vaishali

.... Respondents

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner : Ms. Soni Shrivastava
Mr. Ravi Bhardwaj, Advocates.

For the Respondents : None

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VIKASH JAIN

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 26-10-2018

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner.

2. The present writ petition has been filed for the following reliefs –

“(i) For quashing of the notice date 09.08.2011 issued under Public Demand Recovery Act by the Certificate Officer, Vaishali at Hajipur and proceedings subsequent thereto.

(ii) For quashing of the requisition for a certificate dated 09.08.2011 issued by the Block Development Officer, Bidupur.

(iii) For quashing of the order dated 07.07.2011 contained in Memo No. 1259 issued by the Block Development Officer, Rohtash whereby the petitioner’s father has been directed to deposit the price of 128 quintal unutilized rice remained with him of S.G.R.Y. Scheme I and II lifted during 2001-2006 worth Rs. 1,75,360/-



(iv) For any other relief (s) for which the petitioner is entitled for.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the Enquiry Commission headed by Hon’ble Mr. Justice Udai Sinha (Retired) has now submitted its report and any further action in relation to recovery of the value of undistributed rice under the SGRY Scheme would abide by the decision of the State Government to be taken on the basis of such report.

4. None appears on behalf of the respondents, when the matter is called.

5. In the above view of the matter, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks permission to withdraw the present writ petition with liberty to approach this Court afresh as and when the occasion arises in future.

6. Having regard to the stand of the petitioner, the writ petition stands disposed of with the aforesaid liberty.

7. Let the authorities refrain from taking coercive measures against the petitioner until decision is taken by the State Government, as aforesaid.

(Vikash Jain, J)

Md. Ibrarul/BT

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	N.A.
Uploading Date	30.10.2018
Transmission Date	N.A.

