

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Writ Jurisdiction Case No.1269 of 2015

=====

Sohail Ahmad Son of Late Hakim Abadul Base Saheb, resident at Mohalla
Sharepur, Biharsharif, P.S.- Biharsharif, District- Nalanda

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
 2. The Director General of Police, Bihar, Patna
 3. The Director Magistrate cum the Collector, Sheikhpura, District-
Sheikhpura
 4. The Superintendent of Police, Sheikhpura, Distt.- Sheikhpura
 5. The Circle Officer, Anchal Barbigha, District- Sheikhpura
 6. The Thana In Charge, Police Barbigha, Distt. Sheikhpura
 7. Suresh Prasad Singh
 8. Umesh Prasad Singh
 9. Mahesh Prasad singh Sons of Late Dashrath Prasad Singh
- Respondent No. 7 to 9 are resident of village Babhan Bigha, Police Station
Barbigha, Distt. Sheikhpura

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : None

For the Respondent/s : Mr. Vivek Prasad (GP-18)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJEEV RANJAN
PRASAD
ORAL ORDER

7 08-08-2018 This writ application has been preferred seeking a writ of mandamus directing the respondents to provide appropriate protection to the petitioner from the private respondent nos.7 to 9 and for safety and security of the life and property of the petitioner. The petitioner is seeking a direction to the respondents to provide him protection also in the matter of construction of boundary wall and house on the land bearing Survey Plot No.798, 771, Khata No.304 and 305 and Thana No.34 area measuring 86 decimals.



This case was filed in the year 2015. Learned counsel for the private respondent nos. 7 to 9 is present. No one appears on behalf of the petitioner to press this writ application.

This Court has published a general notice at the top of the cause list requesting the learned counsel for the petitioner and the State to come prepared with the information as to the present position of the investigation and the proceedings before the Court below.

In the nature of the grievance of the petitioner, since no one is appearing to represent the matter when the matter is called out, this Court has reasons to believe that the petitioner is not interested in pursuing the matter. This writ application is, therefore, dismissed as not pressed.

(Rajeev Ranjan Prasad, J)

Arvind/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

