

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**

**Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.4299 of 2016**

**In**

**Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.3099 of 2013**

=====

Bibhash Sharma, Son of Shatrughan Sharma, Resident of Village- Nayatola  
Sadhua, Police Station- Rangra O.P., District- Bhagalpur.

... .. Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.
2. Sri Amir Subhani, Son of not known, presently posted as the Principal Secretary, Department of Home, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. Sri P.N. Roy, Son of not known, presently posted as the Director General-cum- Inspector General of Police (Home Guard), Bihar, Patna.
4. Sri Aadesh Titarmare, Son of not known, presently posted as the District Magistrate-cum- Chairman, Home Guard Selection Committee, Bhagalpur, District- Bhagalpur.
5. Sri Manoj Kumar, Son of not known, presently posted as the Superintendent of Police, Bhagalpur-cum-Member, Home Guard Selection Committee, District- Bhagalpur.
6. Sri Pawan Kumar Singh, Son of not known presently posted as the District Commandant (Home Guard) Bhagalpur-cum-Member Secretary, Home Guard Selection Committee, Bhagalpur.
7. Sri Arun Kumar, Son of not known, presently posted as the District Welfare Officer-cum- Member, Home Guard Selection Committee, Bhagalpur.
8. Anirudh Prasad Singh, Son of not known presently posted as the Deputy Superintendent of Police, Home Guard, District- Bhagalpur.

... Opposite parties

=====

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Diwakar Yadav, Advocate

For the Respondent/s : Mr. Prabhat Kumar Verma, AAG-3

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SHIVAJI PANDEY**

**ORAL ORDER**

4      02-05-2018

Heard the parties.

This Court vide order dated 06.01.2016 passed in the  
main writ petition has directed the District Magistrate,  
Bhagalpur, to examine the case of the petitioner and pass  
necessary orders within a period of three weeks from the date of



passing of the order.

In the show-cause, it has been stated that the Committee has examined the case of the petitioner and taken decision on 03.04.2018.

In such view of the matter, there is no need to keep the matter pending and it is not a case where the Court should initiate a contempt proceeding against the opposite parties. Hence, this contempt proceeding is dropped. The petitioner, if so advised, may challenge the order dated 03.04.2018 before the appropriate forum.

**(Shivaji Pandey, J)**

pawan/-

|   |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|
| U |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|

