

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 19969 of 2016

=====

Arun Kumar Sinha, Son of Late Parmeshwar Dayal, Resident of Village + P.O. Baikatpur, Via- Khusrupur, P.S. Khusrupur, District-Patna.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Chief Secretary, Old Secretariat, Patna.
2. The Chief Secretary, Old Secretariat, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Secretary, Department of Water Resources-cum-Chairman, Bihar State Construction Corporation Limited, Patna.
4. The Bihar State Construction Corporation Limited through its Managing Director Office at Khwaja Imli, Anisabad, Patna.
5. The Managing Director, Office at Khwaja Imli, Anisabad, District- Patna.
6. The Secretary Administration, Bihar State Construction Corporation Limited, Head Quarter at Khwaja Imli, Anisabad, Patna.
7. The Principal Secretary, Water Resources Department, Government of Bihar, Secretariat, Patna.
8. The Assistant Commissioner, Employees Provident Fund Association, Regional Office, Provident Fund Building, R- Block, Road No. 6, Patna- 800001.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.
For the Respondent/s : Mr.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AHSANUDDIN AMANULLAH
ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 08-08-2018

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner; State and
E.P.F.O.

2. The petitioner has moved the Court for the following
reliefs:

“I. To direct the concerned Respondents to pay the Retirement benefits i.e., Pension, Gratuity, C.P.F., Encashment of earned leave and arrears etc. with interest thereon forthwith.

II. To direct the concerned Respondents to release the consequential benefits etc. at the earliest.

III. To grant any other relief/reliefs which the petitioner may be found entitled in the facts and circumstances of the case.”



3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that during the pendency, he has been paid certain benefits except for Gratuity and encashment of Earned Leave. It was submitted that the writ petition be disposed off with liberty to file representation before the authority concerned.

4. Learned counsel for the State and E.P.F.O. do not oppose.

5. In view thereof, the writ petition stands disposed off with liberty to the petitioner to file a detailed representation before the respondent no. 5. If such a representation is filed within four weeks from today, the respondent no. 5 shall look into the matter and pass a reasoned order within four weeks from the date of filing of such representation. If anything further is found payable to the petitioner, the same shall be paid to him within four weeks from the date of passing of the order.

(Ahsanuddin Amanullah, J.)

P. Kumar

AFR/NAFR	
U	

