

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Writ Jurisdiction Case No.1299 of 2015

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-42 Year-2015 Thana- KATRAHA District- Vaishali

Nand Kishor Singh son of Late Jang Bahadur Singh, resident of Village-
Balwa Koari, Police Station- Hajipur, Sadar, District- Vaishali.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Home Department, Bihar, Patna.
2. The Director General of Police, Bihar, Patna.
3. The Inspector General of Police, Muzaffarpur Zone, Muzaffarpur.
4. The Deputy Inspector General of Police, Muzaffarpur Range, Muzaffarpur.
5. The Superintendent of Police, Vaishali at Hajipur
6. The Additional Superintendent of Police, Hajipur Sadar, Vaishali at Hajipur.
7. The Station House Officer, Kartahan Police Station, Vaishali.
8. The Station House Officer, Sadar (Hajipur) Police Station, Vaishali.
9. Tribhuwan Singh son of not known to the petitioner,
10. Pramila Devi wife of Tribhuwan Singh
11. Mukesh Kumar son of Tribhuwan Singh
12. Vinita Devi daughter of Tribhuwan Singh
13. Shalini Kumari daughter of Vijay Singh respondent nos. 9 to 11 are resident of Village- Thegadih, Police Station- Kartahan, District- Vaishali.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Navendu Kumar
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Sadanand Paswan (GP-29)

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJEEV RANJAN PRASAD
ORAL ORDER

3 27-07-2018 Present writ application has been filed in the year 2015 for commanding the respondent authorities to protect life and liberty of the granddaughter of the petitioner, it is alleged that the respondent nos. 9 to 13 has posed threat to the life and liberty of the granddaughter of the petitioner and she has been illegally kept in confinement and further for issuance of a writ in the nature of Mandamus directing the concerned respondents to act in accordance with law and to take action in the matter of the First Information Report bearing Kartahan Police Station Case No. 42 of 2015 dated 19.07.2015.



This Court has published notice in the daily cause list requesting the learned counsel for the petitioner and the State to come prepared in the old cases with information as to the present position of investigation and proceeding before the court below.

However, when the matter is called out no one appears to press this application. Learned counsel for the State is present.

In the nature of relief prayed in the writ application, since no one is appearing on behalf of the petitioner despite there being notice, this Court is in impression that the petitioner now is not interested in the matter.

The writ application is, therefore, disposed of as having become infructuous.

(Rajeev Ranjan Prasad, J)

avin/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

