

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**Criminal Miscellaneous No.48276 of 2018**

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -47 Year- 2016 Thana -DHURAIYA District- BANKA

=====

Punam Devi, Wife of Pappu Das, Resident of Village- Rabbidih, P.S.-  
Dhoraiya, District- Banka.

.... .... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar.

.... .... Opposite Party/s

=====

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Brij Nandad Prasad, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Sanjay Kumar Singh, APP

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S. KUMAR**  
**ORAL ORDER**

2      17-09-2018                      Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned  
A.P.P. for the State.

The petitioner apprehends her arrest in Dhoraiya P.S.  
Case No. 47/2016, registered for the offences punishable under  
Sections 302, 201 and 34 of the Indian Penal Code.

Allegation is committing murder of son of the informant.  
The informant alleged that three days prior to the occurrence  
Pappu Das threatened him that he will kill his son.

It has been submitted that petitioner is wife of Pappu  
Das. There is no eye-witness of the occurrence. Her name surfaced  
in the case only on the basis of suspicion, as she is wife of Pappu  
Das.

Considering the facts aforesaid, the petitioner above-  
named, in the event of her arrest or surrender before the court  
below within a period of four weeks from the date of



receipt/production of a copy of this order, is directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate Ist, Banka in connection with Dhoraiya P.S. Case No. 47/2016, subject to the conditions:

- (1.) Bailors should be local having sufficient immovable property within the jurisdiction of the court concerned.
- (2.) Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be present on each and every date fixed by the court and her absence on two consecutive dates without proper and reasonable reason will be sufficient to cancel her bail bonds.
- (3.) If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses of the case, in that case, prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail of the petitioner.

**(S. Kumar, J)**

Vinita/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

