

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Criminal Miscellaneous No.50105 of 2018

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -278 Year- 2017 Thana -JEHANABAD COMPLAINT CASE District-
JEHANABAD

=====

Mukesh Yadav, Son of Madho Yadav, Resident of Village- Belaganj Near
Agarwal High School, Police Station- Belaganj, in the district of Gaya.

.... Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.
2. Shobha Devi, Wife of Mukesh Yadav and Daughter of Ram Krit Yadav,
Resident of Village- Nadwan, Police Station- Ghosi in the district of
Jehanabad.

.... Opposite Parties

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner : Mr. Sunil Kumar, Advocate.

For the State : Smt. Pronati Singh, A.P.P.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR SINGH

ORAL ORDER

2 16-08-2018 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State.

The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in a case for
the offence registered under Sections 498(A) and 323 of the IPC.

The prosecution story, in brief, is that the accused
persons including the petitioner tortured the victim due to non-
fulfilment of demand of dowry.

It has been submitted by learned counsel for the
petitioner that the petitioner has got no criminal antecedent. There
is no allegation of tampering with the witnesses alleged against the
petitioner. The petitioner has falsely been implicated in the present



case due to petty family dispute. The case is triable by the Magistrate. The petitioner has further relied upon the judgment of this Court in the case of Md. Naimul Haque Ansari @ Naimul Haque Ansari & Ors. Vs. The State of Bihar, reported in 2006(3) PLJR 182.

On behalf of the learned counsel for the State, it has been submitted that the petitioner is named in the F.I.R/ complaint case.

Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances, let the petitioner above named, in the event of arrest or surrender before the learned court below within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned C.J.M. Jehanabad, in connection with Complaint Case No. 278 of 2017, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

U.K./-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

(Sudhir Singh, J)

