

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.49565 of 2018

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -21 Year- 2017 Thana -DUMRIAGHAT District- EASTCHAMPARAN
(MOTIHARI)

=====

Pradeep Ram, son of Bhola Ram, resident of Village - Rampur Khajuriya,
P.S. - Dumariyaghat, District - East Champaran.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar.

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Umesh Chandra Verma, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Ashok Kumar Singh, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY PRIYA
ORAL ORDER

2/ 31-08-2018

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned

APP for the State.

Petitioner apprehends his arrest in **Dumariyaghat P.S.**

Case No.21 of 2017 instituted for the offence under Section(s) 406,
420 & 504/34 Indian Penal Code.

It is submitted that petitioner has no concern with
the alleged occurrence. He has been made accused in this case
because he happens to be brother of main accused-Sunil Kumar
Ram.

There is specific allegation against Sunil Ram that
he took money of loan and gave cheque to the informant.

In the facts and circumstances of the case, prayer of the
petitioner for grant of anticipatory bail is allowed. In the event of
surrender/arrest of the petitioner, named above, within six weeks



from today in connection with **Dumariyaghat P.S. Case No.21 of 2017**, he shall be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the **Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, East Champaran at Motihari**, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) Cr. P. C. with further conditions: (1) bailors should be local having sufficient immovable property within the jurisdiction of the court concerned, (2) petitioner shall cooperate in the trial and shall be present on each and every date fixed by the court and his absence on two consecutive dates without proper and reasonable reason will be liable to cancel his bail bond and (3) if petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses of the case, in that case, prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail of the petitioner.

(Sanjay Priya, J)

**JA/-
Rohit Kr.**

U		T	
---	--	---	--

