

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.48258 of 2018

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -189 Year- 2018 Thana -AURANGABAD TOWN District-
AURANGABAD

=====

1. Dhananjay Singh, S/o Yugal Prasad, R/o Village- Amba, P.S.- Amba,
District- Aurangabad.

2. Umakant Mehta, S/o Sri Satyendra Prasad, R/o Village- Baliya, P.S.-
Ambad, District- Aurangabad.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar.

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mrs. Alka Singh, Adv.
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Sanjay Kumar Singh, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S. KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

2 17-09-2018 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned
A.P.P. for the State.

The petitioners apprehend their arrest in Aurangabad
Town P.S. Case No. 189/2018, registered for the offences
punishable under Sections 420, 406, 468, 471 and 34 of the Indian
Penal Code.

On secret information, Ashutosh Memorial Hospital,
Aurangabad was inspected by the Incharge Civil Surgeon and
other officers. Two patients were operated, but no doctor was
present there. In spite of waiting for sometime, no doctor came
there. Dr. Ashutosh Kumar, M.D. Sadar Hospital, Aurangabad has
certified that he performed operations in Ashutosh Memorial



Hospital.

It has been submitted that similarly situated two co-accused named in the F.I.R., have been granted anticipatory bail by this court.

Considering the facts aforesaid and the fact that similarly situated co-accused have been granted anticipatory bail by this court, the petitioners above-named, in the event of their arrest or surrender before the court below within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt/production of a copy of this order, are directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of Chief Judicial Magistrate, Aurangabad in connection with Aurangabad Town P.S. Case No. 189/2018, subject to the conditions:

- (1.) Bailors should be local having sufficient immovable property within the jurisdiction of the court concerned.
- (2.) Petitioners shall co-operate in the trial and shall be present on each and every date fixed by the court and their absence on two consecutive dates without proper and reasonable reason will be sufficient to



cancel their bail bonds.

(3.) If the petitioners tamper with the evidence or the witnesses of the case, in that case, prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail of the petitioners.

(S. Kumar, J)

Vinita/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

