

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.10951 of 2015

=====

Manoj Kumar Son of Late Ram Ahlad Singh resident of village and P.S. Vaishali, District - Vaishali, Working as Postal Assistant, Postal Stores Depot, Muzaffarpur and Presently the Divisional Secretary, National Association of Postal Employees (Group - C), Muzaffarpur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The Union of India through Secretary & D.G. Posts, New Delhi 110001
2. The Chief Post Master General, Bihar Circle, Patna 800001
3. The Post Master General, North Region, Muzaffarpur 842002
4. The Director Postal Services (HQ.), Central Region, Office of the Chief Post Master General Bihar, Patna 800001
5. The Director Postal Services, Northern Region, Office of the PMG (N), Muzaffarpur
6. The Chief Vigilance Commissioner, Central Vigilance, Commission Satarkata Bhawan, G.P.O.Complex, Block - A, INA, New Delhi
7. The Head of Branch, Anti Corruption Branch, Central Bureau of Investigation, Dr. S.K. Singh Path, Bailey Road, Patna 800022

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Arvind Kumar, Adv.
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr. S.D SANJAY (ADDL. SOC. GEN.)
		Ms. Punam Kumar Singh, CGC
For C.B.I.		Mr. Bipin Kumar Sinha, SCC
For Sanskrit Shiksha Board		Mr. Satyam Shivam Sundram, Adv.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE

and

HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR UPADHYAY
ORAL JUDGMENT

(Per: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE)

Date : 03-01-2018

From the status report filed by C.B.I. through the
D.I.G. of Police, CBI/ACB/Patna Shri Pranav Kumar on



27.11.2017, we find that investigation into the matter is already in progress. In about eight cases, as are detailed in para 7, the investigation has been completed and the charge-sheets have been submitted. In three cases remaining, further investigation is in progress and it is also indicated in the report that the role of the Sanskrit Shiksha Board and the official concerned are also under scrutiny in the investigation under progress.

In view of the status report filed by the C.B.I., we find that action has already been taken with regard to the issue in question and no further indulgence into the matter is called for at this stage. The writ petition was filed with regard to the illegalities reported in Muzaffarpur Postal Division and the counter affidavit filed by the Union of India and the status report of the C.B.I. indicate that they are looking into the matter with regard to the Division in question.

Though learned counsel for the petitioner tried to indicate that this Court had suo motu made certain observation with regard to the illegalities committed in other Divisions of Bihar, we are not inclined to expand the direction to Divisions other than Muzaffarpur.

In case there are illegalities in other Divisions, the matter may be brought to the notice of C.B.I. in accordance with



law by the petitioner and we are sure that the investigating agency will take necessary action.

With the aforesaid, this application is disposed of.

(Rajendra Menon, CJ)

(Anil Kumar Upadhyay, J)

K.C.Jha/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	N/A
Uploading Date	5.1.2018
Transmission Date	

