

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.47580 of 2018

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -141 Year- 2016 Thana -BAKHTIYARPUR District- PATNA

=====

Jitendra Kumar @ Jeetu, Son of Late Ranjeet Prasad, resident of village-
Nayatola Madhopur, P.S.- Bakhtiyarpur, District- Patna.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Anil Chandra, Advocate.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Lalan Kumar, A.P.P.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY PRIYA
ORAL ORDER

2 29-08-2018

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the State.

The petitioner apprehends his arrest in **Bakhtiyarpur**
P.S. Case No. 141 of 2016 instituted for the offence under
Sections 385 and 387 of the Indian Penal Code.

It has been submitted that Mobile Phone from which
call is alleged to have been made does not belong to the petitioner.
The name of petitioner has been disclosed by co-accused Kundan
Kumar before the police.

It is mentioned in paragraph-9 of the bail petition that
Mobile number from which the call is alleged to have been made
does not belong to the petitioner.

Considering the facts and circumstances of the case,
prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner is allowed. In the



event of surrender/arrest of the petitioner, named above, within six weeks from today, in connection with **Bakhtiyarpur P.S. Case No. 141 of 2016**, he shall be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (Ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned **Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Barh, Patna**, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438 (2) Cr. P.C. with further conditions (1) bailors should be local having sufficient immovable property within the jurisdiction of the court concerned, (2) petitioner shall cooperate in the trial and shall be present on each and every date fixed by the court and his absence on two consecutive dates without proper and reasonable reason will be liable to cancel his bail bond and (3) if petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses of the case, in that case, prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail of the petitioner.

(Sanjay Priya, J)

S.Ali/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

