IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.37375 of 2018

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -31 Year- 2017 Thana -KALER District- JEHANABAD

==

1. Karan Kumar Kaushal, S/o Vinay Kumar Lal, resident of Village- Aroye, Police Station- Daud Nagar, District- Aurangabad.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.
2. The State Bank of India through its Zonal Manager, Gandhi Maidan, Patna.

.... Opposite Party/s

==

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Achhaibar Singh, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. APP
Mr. Anjani Kumar Mishra, Advocate
Mr. Ambrish Bhardwaj, Advocate

==

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY PRIYA
ORAL ORDER

3/ 25-07-2018 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned APP for the State.

Petitioner apprehends his arrest in **Kaler P.S. Case No.31 of 2017** instituted for the offence under Section(s) 406, 420, 467, 468,120-B Indian Penal Code pending in the Court of the **Sub-Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Arwal.**

Prayer of the petitioner for grant of anticipatory bail was earlier rejected by this Court by order dated 10.04.2018 passed in Cr. Misc. No.7083 of 2018.

Counsel for the petitioner submits that he is ready to return the amount of loan to the Bank after proper accounting.

This Court after looking into the order dated

10.04.2018 passed in Cr. Misc. No.7083 of 2018 finds that aforesaid order was passed by this Court on merit.

In such circumstances, this Court does not find any new ground to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

Prayer of the petitioner for grant of anticipatory bail is, again, **rejected.**

The petitioner is, however, given liberty to approach the Bank authority for giving statement of loan account and then he will negotiate with the Bank to make repayment of the aforesaid amount in installments after entering into agreement. Thereafter, petitioner may surrender before the Court below and seek regular bail, which shall be considered and disposed off by the court below in accordance with law without being prejudiced by this order.

Counsel for the Bank has submitted that he has no objection if the petitioner approaches the Bank for getting the statement of loan account and entering into settlement with Bank for clearing the dues of the Bank.

(Sanjay Priya, J)

JA/-

U		T	