

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**Criminal Miscellaneous No.45258 of 2018**

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -34 Year- 2018 Thana -BATH District- BHAGALPUR

=====

Sanjeev Kumar, son of Puran Das, resident of village- Pasraha, P.S. Bath,  
Distt. Bhagalpur.

.... .... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

.... .... Opposite Party/s

=====

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Swapnil Kumar Singh, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Kumar Ranjit Ranjan, APP

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY PRIYA**  
**ORAL ORDER**

2      09-08-2018

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the State.

The petitioner apprehends his arrest in **Bath P.S.**

**Case No.34 of 2018** instituted for the offence under Sections  
354B, 354D, 504 and 506 of the Indian Penal Code.

Counsel for the petitioner has submitted that petitioner  
is a student. He has no criminal antecedents. There is general and  
omnibus allegation against the petitioner.

In the written report, it is alleged that while informant  
was returning from the school, petitioner misbehaved with her and  
told to come towards river side with bad intention.

Considering the facts and circumstances of the case,  
prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner is allowed. In the  
event of surrender/arrest of the petitioner, named above, within six



weeks from today, in connection **Bath P.S. Case No.34 of 2018**, he shall be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (Ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned **Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate-XIIInd, Bhagalpur**, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438 (2) Cr. P.C. with further conditions (1) bailors should be local having sufficient immovable property within the jurisdiction of the court concerned, (2) petitioner shall cooperate in the trial and shall be present on each and every date fixed by the court and his absence on two consecutive dates without proper and reasonable reason will be liable to cancel his bail bond and (3) if petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses of the case, in that case, prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail of the petitioner.

(Sanjay Priya, J)

S.Ali/-  
Rohit Kr.

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

