

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.47591 of 2018

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-706 Year-2017 Thana- NAWADA District- Nawada

Purushottam Jee, Son of Madan Sharma, R/o Village Dhanpur, P.S. -
Kashichak, Dist- Nawada.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar.

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Birendra Kumar

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Sri Parmeshwar Mehta

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY PRIYA
ORAL ORDER

2 30-08-2018 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned APP
for the State.

Petitioner apprehends his arrest in Nawada (Town) P.S.
case no. 706 of 17 instituted for the offence under Section(s)
419, 420, 467, 468 and 471/34 of the Indian Penal Code.

In the written report it is alleged that one Pintu Kumar
was caught as he was giving examination in the examination
centre in place of this petitioner in Bihar Police Recruitment
Examination 2017.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that
petitioner was not present on the spot. He has no knowledge
about the impersonation done by co accused Pintu Kumar. It is
mentioned in para 3 of the bail petition that petitioner has clean
antecedent.



In the facts and circumstances of the case, prayer of the petitioner for grant of anticipatory bail is allowed. In the event of surrender/arrest of the petitioner, named above, within six weeks from today in connection with Nawada (Town) P.S. case no. 706 of 2017 , he shall be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the CJM Nawada, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) Cr. P. C. with further conditions:(1) bailors should be local having sufficient immovable property within the jurisdiction of the court concerned, (2) petitioner shall cooperate in the trial and shall be present on each and every date fixed by the court and absence on two consecutive dates without proper and reasonable reason will automatically cancel bail bond of the petitioner and (3) if petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses of the case, in that case, prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail of the petitioner.

(Sanjay Priya, J)

shyambihari/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

