

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.58364 of 2017

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -82 Year- 2008 Thana -KANTI THARMAL POWER District-
MUZAFFARPUR

=====

1. Dayalal Sah, son of Late Chhathu Sah, resident of village - Wakatpur,
P.S. - Kanti, Distt. - Muzaffarpur.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Neeraj Kumar alias Sanidh, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Ram Bachan Singh, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY PRIYA
ORAL ORDER

4/ 09-02-2018

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned

APP for the State.

Petitioner apprehends his arrest in **Kanti P.S. Case No.82 of 2008** instituted for the offence under Section(s) 302, 201/34 Indian Penal Code.

It has been submitted that petitioner is father-in-law of the deceased.

Counsel for the petitioner has submitted that this petitioner has no concern with the affairs of the husband and wife (since deceased).

In the written report, there is general and omnibus allegation against the petitioner.

In the facts and circumstances of the case, prayer of



the petitioner for grant of anticipatory bail is allowed. In the event of surrender/arrest of the petitioner, named above, within six weeks from today in connection with **Kanti P.S. Case No.82 of 2008**, he shall be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the **Sub-Divisional Judicial Magistrate, West, Muzaffarpur**, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) Cr. P. C. with further conditions: (1) bailors should be local having sufficient immovable property within the jurisdiction of the court concerned, (2) petitioner shall cooperate in the trial and shall be present on each and every date fixed by the court and absence on two consecutive dates without proper and reasonable reason will automatically cancel bail bond of the petitioner and (3) if petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses of the case, in that case, prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail of the petitioner.

(Sanjay Priya, J)

JA/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

