

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.299 of 2018

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -90 Year- 2017 Thana -JADIA District- SUPAUL

=====

Md. Israil, Son of Md. Yusuf, resident of village- Mogala Ghat, P.S.- Jadia,
District- Supaul. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Naresh Kumar Mehta

For the Opposite Party/s : Sri Umanath Mishra

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

3 01-02-2018 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

The petitioner apprehends arrest in connection with Jadia Police Station Case No. 90 of 2017 registered for the offences under sections 147, 148, 149, 341, 323, 324, 307 and 302 of the Indian Penal Code.

As per written report, there is specific allegation against Md. Jabbar, Md. Quddus, and wife of Md. Jabbar, namely, Salma Khatoon @ Dilshad Khatoon. It appears that there is general and omnibus allegation against this petitioner and similarly situated two accuseds have been allowed bail by one of the coordinate Bench of this Court in Cr. Misc. No.47966 of 2017.

Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, the prayer for bail is allowed and the above named petitioner in the event of arrest or surrender before the learned court below



within six weeks from today, is directed to be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate-V, Supaul in connection with Jadia Police Station Case No. 90 of 2017, subject to conditions as laid down under section 438(2) Cr.P.C. with further conditions (1) one of the bailors should be local person having sufficient immovable property within the jurisdiction of the court concerned (2) petitioner shall cooperate in the trial and shall be present on each and every date fixed by the court and absence on two consecutive dates without showing any genuine reasons, will automatically cancel bail bond of the petitioner and (3) if petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses of the case, in that case, prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail of the petitioner.

(Sanjay Kumar, J)

Mahesh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

