

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.45203 of 2018

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -287 Year- 2018 Thana -MOHANIA District- BHABHUA (KAIMUR)

=====

Govind Yadav, Son of Ram Ashish Yadav, resident of Village- Dewkali,
P.O.- Akorhi, P.S.- Mohania, District- Kaimur (Bhabua).

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Pradhan Murli Manohar Pd., Advocate.
Mr. Raj Kumar Goswami, Advocate.

For the Opposite Party/s : Smt. Anita Kumari, A.P.P.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY PRIYA
ORAL ORDER

2 08-08-2018 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the State.

The petitioner apprehends his arrest in **Mohania P.S.**

Case No. 287 of 2018 instituted for the offence under Section 420
of the Indian Penal Code.

In the written report it is alleged that petitioner has
taken amount of Rs.58,000/- from the informant for getting job,
but the informant did not get job.

Counsel for the petitioner submits that matter has
been compromised between the parties. A compromise petition
has been filed in the court of Chief Judicial Magistrate, Kaimur at
Bhabua on 7.7.2018, copy of which, has been enclosed as
Annexure-2.

Considering the facts and circumstances of the case,



prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner is allowed. In the event of surrender/arrest of the petitioner, named above, within six weeks from today, in connection with **Mohania P.S. Case No. 287 of 2018**, he shall be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (Ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned **Chief Judicial Magistrate, Kaimur at Bhabhua**, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438 (2) Cr. P.C. with further conditions (1) bailors should be local having sufficient immovable property within the jurisdiction of the court concerned, (2) petitioner shall cooperate in the trial and shall be present on each and every date fixed by the court and his absence on two consecutive dates without proper and reasonable reason will be liable to cancel his bail bond and (3) if petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses of the case, in that case, prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail of the petitioner.

(Sanjay Priya, J)

S.Ali/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

