

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.6574 of 2012

=====

Ranjit Kumar Son Of Sri Yugeshwar Prasad Singh Resident Of Village-
Tardih, P.O. Kaithwar, Police Station - Sakatpur, District- Darbhanga

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar
2. The Principal Secretary, Human Resources Development Department,
Government Of Bihar, Patna
3. The Regional Deputy Director Of Education, Darbhanga Division, Darbhanga
4. The District Education Officer, Darbhanga
5. The District Co-Ordinator-Cum-District Superintendent Of Education,
Darbhanga
6. The District Magistrate, Darbhanga
7. The Block Development Officer, Tardih, District- Darbhanga
8. The Area Education Officer, Kamtaul, District- Darbhanga
9. The Block Education Extension Officer, Tardih, District- Darbhanga
10. Sri Shambhu Kumar Paswan Son Of Sri Sutta Paswan Resident Of Village-
Dadpatti, Police Station - Sakatpur, District- Darbhanga, Presently Working
As Mukhiya Of Gram Panchayat Raj Baika Within Tardih Prakhand
11. Sri Ramakant Paswan Son Of Not Known To The Petitioner Presently
Working As Panchayat Secretary, Gram Panchayat Raj Baika Within Tardih
Prakhand
12. Md. Mumtaj Alam Son Of Not Known To The Petitioner Posted As
Headmaster, Primary School, Harijan Tola, Dadpatti
13. Sri Manoj Kumar Alias Manoj Kumar Yadav Son Of Sri Kriti Narayan Yadav
Resident Of Village - Tardih, P.O. Kaithwar, Police Station - Sakatpur,
District- Darbhanga
14. The District Teachers Empliment Appellate Authority Balbhadrapur,
Laheriasarai, District- Darbhanga

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Ranjit Kumar Singh
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr. Rakesh Ambastha, Ac to Aag-03
For respondent no.13	:	Mr. Kripa Nand Jha, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR UPADHYAY
ORAL ORDER

4 22-06-2018 The present writ application was heard and called out

on previous dates but no one appeared on behalf of the

petitioner to assist the court.

The writ petition involves the issue of appointment of



Panchayat Teacher pursuant to selection process commenced in the years 2008. Ten years have elapsed and at this stage when the dispute is that the petitioner has not appeared in the counselling. Petitioner is not present in the present proceeding. In addition thereto, when there is statutory remedy by way of appeal before the State Appellate Authority, the court does not find any justification to keep this matter pending any further.

In view of the facts and circumstances indicated hereinabove, the writ petition is disposed of with liberty to the petitioner if he is still interested with the present case, he may approach the State Appellate Authority by filing appeal within a period of two months from today alongwith the copy of this order and in case, such appeal is filed by the petitioner before the State Appellate Authority, the State Appellate Authority will decide the appeal on its own merit after condoning the delay in filing the appeal considering the fact that the writ petition involving the issue remain pending since 2012.

With the aforesaid, the writ petition stands disposed of.

(Anil Kumar Upadhyay, J)

Ravi/-

U			
---	--	--	--

