IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.1449 of 2015

In

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 16905 of 2011

==========

. Mumshi Tiwari son of Late Bhukhal Tiwari resident of village Aruan , Panchayat Gopalpur, P.S. and Block Bhagwanpur Hat District Siwan .

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar, through the Principal Secretary Rural Development Department , Govt. of Bihar , Patna, Namely Sudhir Kumar Rakesh .
2. Pankaj Kumar son of not known to the petitioner, the Secretary Food and Consumer Protection Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
3. Sri Sanjay Singh son of not known to the petitioner, the District Magistrate, Siwan .
4. Ravi Kant Tiwary son of not known to the petitioner, the Deputy Development Commissioner, Siwan.
5. Vikash Barman son of not known to the petitioner, the Superintendent of Police , Siwan.
6. Akhilesh Kumar Singh son of not known to the petitioner, the Sub Divisional Officer, Maharajganj, District Siwan.
7. Ramanuj Pandey son of not known to the petitioner, the Block Programme Officer, Bhagwanpur Hat (Maharajganj) Block , District Siwan.
8. Ravi Singh son of not known to the petitioner, the Block Development Officer, Bhagwanpur Hat (Maharajganj) Block District Siwan.
9. Ram Ekbal Prasad son of not known to the petitioner, the S.H.O. Bhagwanpur Hat Police Station, District Siwan
10. Sushila Devi son of not known to the petitioner, the Mukhiya , Gram Panchayat Raj, Gopalpur, Block Bhagwanpur Hat, District Siwan.
11. Thakur Prasad son of not known to the petitioner, the Panchayat Secretary Gram Panchayat Gopalpur, Block Bhagwanpur Hat, District Siwan.

.... Respondent/s

==========

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Harsh Anuj, Adv.

For the Respondent/s : Mr. Madhav Prasad Yadav, G.P. 23
Ms. Meera Singh, AC to GP 23

==========

CORAM: HONOURABLE JUSTICE SMT. ANJANA MISHRA

ORAL ORDER

WEB COPY

8 23-02-2018 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned counsel for the State.

It appears from the order passed by the Block Development Officer, Bhagwanpur Hat that the petitioner's representation has been rejected on 27.07.2015 on the ground that the petitioner had failed to produce the necessary proof for indicating that the rice in question had been distributed by him.

However, learned counsel for the petitioner seriously disputes the same and submits that he will file reply to that effect indicating proof of the fact that he had produced the records of distribution before the concerned functionary on the date on which he had been called upon to appear before the then Block Development Officer, Bhagwanpur Hat in response to the notice dated 02.07.2012. Annexure-B to the show cause indicates that after the letter dated 02.07.2012 another letter dated 22.07.2015 was also given to the petitioner vide letter no. 829 but the petitioner had failed to produce necessary documents. As such, vide order dated 27.07.2015 (Annexure-B) the petitioner's representation was rejected.

Be that as it may, this court is not inclined to

WEB COPY

proceed further in the matter. The contempt application stands disposed of.

(Anjana Mishra, J)

Jagdish/-

U			

WEB COPY