

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.349 of 2016

In

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 12224 of 2014

=====

1. Shiva Chandra Jha, S/o Late Mahadeo Jha, R/o Village & P.O.- Sangi, Via Ghoghardiha, P.S. Phulparas, District Madhubani at present working as Head of the Department of Hindi as 1st post Phool Devi Kusheshwar Jha College, Vachaspati Nagar, Andhra Thadhi, Madhubani

2. Krishnadeo Jha, S/o Brahmdeo Jha, R/o village Chainpur, P.S.- Bangaon, District- Saharsa at present working as Head of the Department of Mathematics as 1st post Phool Devi Kusheshwar Jha College, Vachaspati Nagar, Andhra Thadhi, Madhubani

.... Petitioners

Versus

1. The State of Bihar Through Its Principal Secretary, Human Resources Development Department, Govt. of Bihar Sri R.K. Mahajan.

2. The Principal Secretary, Human Resource Development Department, Government of Bihar, Patna, Sri R.K. Mahajan.

3. The Director, Higher Education, Government of Bihar, Patna, Sri Sanjiwan Sinha

4. Lalit Narayan Mithila University, Darbhanga through its Registrar, Sri Ajit Kumar Singh

5. Sri S. Kushwaha, The Vice Chancellor of Lalit Narayan Mithila University, Darbhanga

6. Sri A.K. Sinha, The Registrar of Lalit Narayan Mithila University, Darbhanga

7. Sri Samlendu Shekhar Jha, Secretary, Management Committee, Phool Devi Kusheshwar Jha College, Vachaspati Nagar, Andhra thadhi, Madhubani, District Madhubani

8. Sri Sushil Kumar Jha, Phool Devi Kusheshwar Jha College, Vachaspati Nagar, Andhra thadhi, Madhubani, District Madhubani

.... Opposite Parties

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioners : Mr. Sharda Nand Mishra, Advocate
Mr. D.K.Gupta, Advocate

For the State : Mr. Prabhakar Jha, G.P.-27

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE JUSTICE SMT. ANJANA MISHRA

ORAL ORDER

2 21-06-2018

Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the State.

A show cause has been filed in this case, in which it



has been stated that the University has disposed of the representation of the petitioners as directed by the writ Court.

Accordingly, this Court finds that no case for contempt is made out. It is accordingly dismissed.

(Anjana Mishra, J)

PNM/Abhishek

U			
---	--	--	--

