

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.50398 of 2016

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -46 Year- 2013 Thana -ECONOMIC OFFENCES, BIHAR District-
PATNA

=====

Arun Pandi, son of Baudhu Pandit @ Baiju Pandit, resident of Kumhar Toli,
P.S. Lohna, Distt. Dhanbad (Jharkhand)

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Dy. General Manager, Oriental Bank of Commerce, 2nd floor,
Chandpura Palace, Bank Road, West Gandhi Maidan, P.S.
GandhiMaidan, Distt. Patna.
3. Economic Offence Unit, Patna, Ane Marg, Beli Road, Bihar, Patna.

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Satrudhan Kumar, Advocate. Mr. Prabha Mishra, Advocate.
For the EOU	:	Mr. V.N.P. Sinha, Sr. Advocate Mr. Vijay Anand, Advocate.
For the opposite party No. 2	:	Mr. Nishi Nath Ojha, Advocate.
For the State	:	Mr. Ramchandra Sahani, A.P.P.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY PRIYA
ORAL ORDER

5 18-01-2018 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the State.

The petitioner apprehends his arrest in **Economic Offence Unit P.S. Case No. No. 46 of 2013** instituted for the offence under Sections 406, 420, 465, 467, 468, 471 and 120B of the Indian Penal Code.

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned counsel for the Economic Offence Unit, learned counsel for the Bank and learned counsel for the State.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the entire acts has been done by one Brij Yadav by using



photograph and Xerox copy of I.D. of the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the Bank and Economic Offence Unit have submitted that this petitioner in the name of Sunil Kumar Rai, after depositing forged NSC in the Bank, in his name, took total amount of Rs.73.57 lacs.

The Bank as well as the Economic Offence Unit after enquiry found that all the forgery has been made by this petitioner as he introduced himself as Sunil Kumar Roy whereas correct name of petitioner is Arun Kumar Pandit and in CC TV footage, the face of this petitioner has come. The counsel for the Economic Offence Unit has further submitted that during investigation, the petitioner was found absconding.

Therefore, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

Prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner stands rejected.

Petitioner may surrender before the court below and make prayer for regular bail.

The case diary is returned by the Economic Offence Unit. Let it be sent to the court below.

(Sanjay Priya, J)

S.Ali/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

