

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.15392 of 2015

=====

1. Anandi Das S/o Late Baldeo Das Resident of Village Shovanpur, P.S. Amarpur, District Banka.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.
2. The District Magistrate, Banka.
3. The Sub Divisional Officer, Banka.
4. The Block Supply Officer, Amarpur, P.S. Amarpur, District Banka.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : None

For the Respondent/s : Mr. Umesh Kumar Roy, AC to GP 11

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VIKASH JAIN

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 18-08-2018

None appears on behalf of the petitioner despite repeated calls.

Learned counsel for the respondents appears.

2. The present writ petition has been filed against the order dated 20.12.2013 bearing Memo No. 1591 (Annexure-4) as also against the order dated 30.07.2015 (Annexure-7) passed by the Sub-Divisional Officer, Banka (respondent no. 3) whereby and whereunder the petitioner's P.D.S. Licence has been cancelled; and for connected reliefs.

3. At the very outset this Court takes note that the petitioner has alternate statutory remedy against the impugned orders of cancellation by way of appeal before the Collector, which has not been availed of by the petitioner.



4. In the above circumstances, the writ petition stands disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to file appropriate statutory appeal against the impugned orders of cancellation, if so advised.

5. It is made clear that in case such an appeal is filed, the concerned authority would have regard to the present proceeding being pursued by the petitioner while considering any issue relating to condonation of delay, if applicable.

(Vikash Jain, J)

Chandran/Md. Ibrarul

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	NA
Uploading Date	26.08.2018
Transmission Date	NA

