

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.41042 of 2018

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -257 Year- 2016 Thana -GAURICHAK District- PATNA

=====

1. Narendra Singh,
2. Dhirendra Singh @ Dhirendra Kumar Singh,
Both sons of Nageshwar Singh,
3. Amit Kumar, Son of Ravindra Singh,
All resident of Village- Kandap, Police Station- Gaurichak, District- Patna.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Jay Ram Prasad, Advocate.
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Ramchandra Sahani, A.P.P.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY PRIYA
ORAL ORDER

3 17-07-2018 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and the

State.

The petitioners apprehend their arrest in **Gaurichak**
P.S. Case No. 257 of 2016 instituted for the offence under
Sections 147, 148, 149, 341, 323, 386, 387, 504 and 506 of the
Indian Penal Code.

Counsel for the petitioners has submitted that there is
no allegation of any specific overt act against the petitioners.
There is general and omnibus allegation against the petitioners
that they armed with weapons surrounded the house of the
informant with intention to kill him.

Considering the facts and circumstances of the case,



prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioners is allowed. In the event of surrender/arrest of the petitioners, named above, within six weeks from today, in connection with **Gaurichak P.S. Case No. 257 of 2016**, they shall be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (Ten thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned **Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate-VIII, Patna City**, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438 (2) Cr. P.C. with further conditions (1) bailors should be local having sufficient immovable property within the jurisdiction of the court concerned, (2) petitioners shall cooperate in the trial and shall be present on each and every date fixed by the court and their absence on two consecutive dates without proper and reasonable reason will be liable to cancel their bail bond and (3) if petitioners tamper with the evidence or the witnesses of the case, in that case, prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail of the petitioners.

(Sanjay Priya, J)

S.Ali/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

