

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Criminal Appeal (SJ) No.2059 of 2018

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -234 Year- 2018 Thana -ARA NAWADA District- BHOJPUR

=====

1. Ranjit Singh @ Ram Babu Yadav S/o Kesho Singh, R/o vill.- Pakri, P.S.-
Nawada Ara, District- Bhojpur.

.... Appellant/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Appellant/s : Mr.

For the Respondent/s : Mr.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE BIRENDRA KUMAR

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 24-07-2018

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

This is an appeal under Section 14-A(2) of the Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, 1989 (hereinafter referred to as the “SC/ST Act”) against the refusal of prayer for anticipatory bail vide order dated 18.05.2018 in A.B.P. No. 543 of 2018 passed by the learned 1st Additional Sessions Judge-cum-Special Judge (S.C./S.T. Act), Bhojpur at Ara in connection with Ara Nawada P.S. Case No. 234 of 2018 registered under Sections 341, 323, 385, 504, 506/34 of the Indian Penal Code as well as Sections 3(1)(r)(s) of the SC/ST Act.

Title Suit No. 494 of 2016 was brought by the appellant wherein Plot No. 377 referred in the FIR is also a subject matter besides other plots against several defendants named therein.

By order dated 13.04.2018, the learned trial court has ordered for maintenance of status quo in respect of the suit land. In the aforesaid background, allegation is that the appellant assaulted to the labourer



working on the said land of the informant and abused by taking caste name.

Learned Special Public Prosecutor opposed the prayer for bail.

Considering the background of the allegation, let the appellant, above named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the Court below within a period of thirty days from the date of receipt of the order, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.20,000/- (rupees twenty thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned court below where the case is pending in connection with the aforesaid case, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure as well as condition that the appellant shall fully cooperate with the investigation/trial of the case, failing which the court below shall be at liberty to cancel the bail bond of the appellant as well as condition that both the bailors shall be resident of within the territorial jurisdiction of the learned court below.

Accordingly, the impugned order is set aside and this appeal stands allowed.

(Birendra Kumar, J)

Kundan/-

AFR/NAFR	N.A.
CAV DATE	N.A.
Uploading Date	27.07.2018
Transmission Date	27.07.2018

