

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.15547 of 2015

=====

Ram Dahin Singh S/o Late Bharat Singh, Resident of Village- Basar, P.S.- Atri,
District- Gaya

.... Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Secretary, Food & Consumer Protection
Department, Bihar, Patna
2. The District Magistrate-cum-Collector, Gaya
3. The Sub-Divisional Officer, Neemchakbathani, Gaya
4. The Block Supply Officer, Mohara, Gaya

.... Respondents

=====

Appearance:

For the Petitioner : Mr. Dhananjaya Nath Tiwari, Adv

For the Respondent : Mr. ANSHUMAN SINGH, GP24

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VIKASH JAIN

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 06-02-2018

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned counsel for
the respondents.

2. The present writ petition has been filed for quashing the order
contained in memo no. 1299 dated 11.08.2014 passed by the Sub-
Divisional Officer, Neemchakbathani, Gaya by which licence of the
petitioner's PDS shop bearing no. 02/08 has been cancelled and
monthly allotment has been stopped; for quashing the order dated
21.05.2015 passed by the learned Collector, Gaya in Supply Appeal No.
22/2014 by which the appeal preferred against the order of licencing
authority has been rejected; and further to restore the petitioner's
license.



3. Learned counsel for the petitioner makes a short submission to assail the impugned order on the ground that a copy of the enquiry report was not made available to the petitioner and he was never confronted with the same with an opportunity of being heard or adducing evidence in that regard. A specific stand has been taken in para 28 of the writ petition that the impugned order of cancellation of licence has been passed without providing a copy of the enquiry report to the petitioner, though the same had been relied upon in the impugned order.

4. Learned counsel for the respondents appears and has been heard. The stand of the petitioner with regard to non-supply of enquiry report has not been controverted, as no counter affidavit has been filed till date.

5. In the above view of the matter, this Court is satisfied that non-supply of the enquiry report to the petitioner has resulted in violation of natural justice and thus the decision making process stands vitiated. The impugned order dated 11.08.2014 (Annexure-4) as also the appellate order dated 21.05.2015 (Annexure-5) passed by the learned Collector, Gaya are hereby quashed and the matter remanded to the Sub-Divisional Officer, Neemchakbathani, Gaya, for taking decision afresh in the matter after supplying a copy of the enquiry report to the petitioner and granting an opportunity of hearing in accordance with law. Supplies to the petitioner shall be restored without delay until fresh orders are passed by the respondent no. 3.

6. It is made clear that in case the stand of the petitioner



denying receipt of the enquiry report prior to order of cancellation being passed is found to be incorrect, the respondents shall be at liberty to approach this Court for recall of this judgment.

7. The writ petition stands allowed as above.

(Vikash Jain, J)

Chandran/BT

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	NA
Uploading Date	07.02.2018
Transmission Date	NA

