

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.517 of 2016

=====

1. Raghunath Ram Son of Late Sahtu Ram resident of village - Baijalpur,
P.S. Sonapur, District - Saran

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through Principal Secretary, Department of Home,
Govt. of Bihar, Patna
2. The Commissioner, Saran at Chapra
3. The Collector, Saran at Chapra
4. The Sub - Divisional officer, Sonapur, Saran
5. The Circle officer, Sonapur, Saran
6. The Officer in Charge, Sonapur Police Station, Saran

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Shatrughan Pandey, Adv.
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Avinash Kumar- SC30

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE JYOTI SARAN
ORAL ORDER

4 03-04-2018 Heard the parties.

Mr. Md. Irshad, A.C. to S.C.1 while objecting to the reliefs submits that although the petitioner was made to superannuate with effect from 31.8.2000 vide letter No. 379 dated 18.8.2000 and this order was questioned by the petitioner in C.W.J.C. No. 13757 of 2006 but the writ petition was disposed of on 7.12.2004 as admitted by him at paragraph 8 for taking recourse to the proper remedy. He submits that the petitioner admits that he filed a suit before the Munsif bearing No. 21 of 2006 which is yet pending. According to Mr. Irshad, the writ petition is barred on the principles of res judicata.



I have heard learned counsel for the parties and I have perused the records and the statements present at paragraphs 6 to 9 of the writ petition does not persuade this Court to grant any indulgence.

The writ petition is disposed of.

(Jyoti Saran, J)

Bibhash/-

U			
---	--	--	--

