

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Criminal Miscellaneous No.39804 of 2018

Arising Out of P.S.C.ase No. -258 Year- 2016 Thana -GAYA MUFFSIL District- GAYA

=====

1. Binod Prasad @ Binod Sao Son of late Jhaman Sao
2. Sunil Kumar@ Chhotu Son of Binod Prasad@ Binod Sao
3. Urmila Devi Wife of late Santu Sao, Daughter of Binod Prasad@ Binod Sao All are Resident of Village- Nauranga, P.S. Muffasil, District- Gaya.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY PRIYA
ORAL ORDER

3 24-07-2018 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners as well as the State.

The petitioners apprehend their arrest in Muffasil P.S. Case No. 258/2016, instituted for the offence punishable under Section 304 (B)/34 of the Indian Penal Code.

Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that the petitioners are family members of the husband of the deceased. The husband of the deceased is in custody. There is general and omnibus allegation against all the petitioners.

Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioners is allowed. In the event of surrender/arrest of the petitioners, named above, within



six weeks from today, in connection with Mufassil P.S. Case No. 258/2016, they shall be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Gaya, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C. with further conditions (1) bailors should be local having sufficient immovable property within the jurisdiction of the court concerned, (2) petitioners shall cooperate in the trial and shall be present on each and every date fixed by the court and absence on two consecutive dates without proper and reasonable reason will automatically cancel bail bond of the petitioners and (3) if petitioners tamper with the evidence or the witnesses of the case, in that case, prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail of the petitioners.

(Sanjay Priya, J)

Rakhi

U		T	
---	--	---	--

