

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**Criminal Miscellaneous No.36782 of 2018**

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -202 Year- 2018 Thana -SONEPUR District- SARAN

=====

Binod Sah Son of Parash Sah @ Parash Nath Sah, resident of Village-  
Kasmar, P.S.- Sonpur, District- Saran.

.... .... Petitioner

Versus

The State of Bihar

.... .... Opposite Party

=====

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Arun Kumar Prasad, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Ashok Kumar, APP

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD KUMAR SINHA**  
**ORAL ORDER**

3      29-06-2018                      Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned  
Additional Public Prosecutor of the State.

The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in connection  
with Sonpur (Pahleja O.P.) P.S. Case No. 202 of 2018, registered  
for offences punishable under Sections 452, 354, 504, 503, 147  
and 149 of the Indian Penal Code.

The allegation against the petitioner is that he had torn  
the blouse of the informant.

Submission of the learned counsel for the petitioner is  
that other accused persons have been granted privilege of  
anticipatory bail by the court below and except the allegation of  
tearing the blouse of the informant, there is no other allegation  
against the petitioner. It is also submitted that there is a case and



counter case between the parties and has not committed any offence.

Learned Additional Public Prosecutor opposes the prayer of bail.

Having heard both sides and in view of the facts and circumstances, as discussed above, let the petitioner, above named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the Court below within a period of six weeks from the date of the order, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 25,000/- (Twenty five thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each in connection with Sonpur (Pahleja O.P.) P.S. Case No. 202 of 2018 to the satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Saran at Chapra, subject to the conditions laid down under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C. with other that bailors should be local having sufficient immovable property within the jurisdiction of the Court concerned and petitioner shall cooperate in the investigation and shall be present before the police as and when required, otherwise prosecution is at liberty to move for cancellation of his bail bonds.

**(Vinod Kumar Sinha, J)**

Sudha/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

