

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.48932 of 2017

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -26 Year- 2017 Thana -MAHARAJGANJ District- SIWAN

=====

Saurav Anurag, son of Sri Sheo Kumar Rai, resident of Mohalla Mustafabad (Devi Asthan), P.S. Rampur, Distt. Gaya.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. State Bank of India, Muzaffarpur Zone, through Dy. General Manager (B&O), Administrative Office, Club Road, Muzaffarpur.

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Vinod Kumar, Advocate.

For the opposite party No.2: Mr. Kaushlendra Kumar Sinha, Advocate

For the State : Dr. Rabindra Kumar, A.P.P.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY PRIYA
ORAL ORDER

10 16-01-2018

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the State.

The petitioner apprehends his arrest in **Maharajganj**

P.S. Case No. 26 of 2017 instituted for the offence under Sections 409, 420, 467, 468 and 471 of the Indian Penal Code.

As per First Information Report lodged by the Branch Manager, State Bank of India, Maharajganj, this petitioner who was posted as Field Officer in the Branch has used his I.D. No. 6007058 and had illegally withdrawn from the account of various Kisan Credit Card holders, an amount of Rs.12,00,000/-. It is further alleged that departmental enquiry was continuing and there is likelihood of the amount illegally withdrawn to increase.

The counsel for the Bank (opposite party No.2) has appeared and filed counter affidavit. The Bank has stated in



paragraphs 6, 7 and 8 of the counter affidavit that this petitioner while posted as Field Officer in the concerned Branch, has withdrawn a sum of Rs.12,66,500/- from the accounts of 236 Kisan Cash Credit Card holders by using his I.D. No. 6007058 and pending further enquiry, the amount defrauded is likely to increase. It is mentioned in the case diary that this petitioner after fraudulently withdrawing money, transferred an amount of Rs.60,000/- in the account of his wife namely, Anita Kumari. The details of account in which fraudulent withdrawal has been made by this petitioner has been enclosed as Annexure-A to the counter affidavit.

In such circumstances, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

Prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner stands rejected.

(Sanjay Priya, J)

S.Ali/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

