

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.30238 of 2018

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-139 Year-2017 Thana- CHANAN District- Lakhisarai

Pradip Kumar Mandal, Son of Late Kritlal Mandal, Resident of Village-
Hasaganj English, P.S.- Ramnagar, District- Munger.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar.

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Manoj Kumar

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Ram Sevak Choudhary

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SHIVAJI PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

2 17-05-2018 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
counsel for the State.

In this case, the petitioner is apprehending his arrest in connection with Chanan P.S. Case No. 139 of 2017 registered for offences under sections 420/406/504/506/34 of the Indian Penal Code and Section 3/4 of the Dowry Prohibition Act.

As per allegation made in the First Information Report, the Informant and the petitioner have agreed for the marriage, in pursuance thereof, Rs. 2,00,000/- was credited in the account of the petitioner and again Rs. 2,00,000/- was also credited in the account of the son of the petitioner by way of dowry. The ring ceremony was solemnized in the Shyamali Hotel in Dhanbad whereafter the demand was increased for Rs. 15,00,000/- and a Alto car. Ultimately, the marriage could not be



solemnized.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has already given Rs. 4,00,000/- to the Informant.

Looking to the entire facts and circumstances of the case, let the petitioner, namely, Pradip Kumar Mandal, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the court below within a period of four weeks from today, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of additional Chief Judicial Magistrate-1st, Lakhisarai in connection with Chanan P.S. Case No. 139 of 2017, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C. as also subject to condition that whenever the police will call the petitioner for investigation/interrogation, he will remain present and if he would not present himself, the privilege of grant of anticipatory bail shall be deemed to have been canceled.

(Shivaji Pandey, J)

rishi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

