

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.29296 of 2018

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -114 Year- 2017 Thana -GOH District- AURANGABAD

=====

1. Jamir Ansari @ Jamil Ansari, S/o Late Sumsuddin Ansari,
2. Mahfooz Ansari @ Md. Mahfooz Ansari, S/o Naim Ansari,
Both are resident of village.- Mirpur, P.S.- Goh, District- Aurangabad.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Santosh Kumar Pandey, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Nirmal Kumar Sinha, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY PRIYA
ORAL ORDER

3 28-06-2018 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and the

State.

The petitioners apprehend their arrest in **Goh P.S.**
Case No. 114 of 2017, instituted for the offence under Sections
147, 149, 341, 323, 354, 509, 307, 379, 427 of the Indian Penal
Code and Sections 3/4 of Witch Crafts Act.

It is alleged in the written report that there is specific
allegation against co-accused Tauhid Ansari @ Ojha. He told the
informant that she had committed witch craft over son of
Mahboob Ansari who lives in Rajasthan and also insulted the
informant.

Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that
petitioner No.2 is not named in the First Information Report. His
name has come in the confessional statement of the son of the



informant.

Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioners is allowed. In the event of surrender/arrest of the petitioners, named above, within six weeks from today, in connection with **Goh P.S. Case No. 114 of 2017**, they shall be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (Ten thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned **S.D.J.M. Daudnagar, Aurangabad**, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438 (2) Cr. P.C. with further conditions (1) bailors should be local having sufficient immovable property within the jurisdiction of the court concerned, (2) petitioners shall cooperate in the trial and shall be present on each and every date fixed by the court and their absence on two consecutive dates without proper and reasonable reason will be liable to cancel their bail bond and (3) if petitioners tamper with the evidence or the witnesses of the case, in that case, prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail of the petitioners.

(Sanjay Priya, J)

S.Ali/-
Rohit Kr.

U		T	
---	--	---	--

