

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.28579 of 2018

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -18 Year- 2018 Thana -KESARIA District-
EASTCHAMPARAN(MOTIHARI)

=====

1. Sitesh Kumar, son of late Pramod Kumar, resident of village- Sagar
Churaman, P.S.- Kessariya, District- East Champaran.,

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Shakti Suman Kumar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Shyameshwar Dayal, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY PRIYA
ORAL ORDER

2/ 23-05-2018

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned

APP for the State.

Petitioner apprehends his arrest in **Kesariya P.S.**

Case No.18 of 2018 instituted for the offence under Section(s)

467, 468, 384 Indian Penal Code.

Counsel for the petitioner has submitted that there is allegation in the written report against the petitioner that he was identifier on the sale deed executed by the *Pattidaar* of the informant.

In this manner from the allegation in the written report itself, it appears that petitioner was nowhere beneficiary in the aforesaid transaction.

In the facts and circumstances of the case, prayer of



the petitioner for grant of anticipatory bail is allowed. In the event of surrender/arrest of the petitioner, named above, within six weeks from today in connection with **Kesariya P.S. Case No.18 of 2018**, he shall be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the **Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, XI, East Champaran, Motihari**, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) Cr. P. C. with further conditions: (1) bailors should be local having sufficient immovable property within the jurisdiction of the court concerned, (2) petitioner shall cooperate in the trial and shall be present on each and every date fixed by the court and his absence on two consecutive dates without proper and reasonable reason will be liable to cancel his bail bond and (3) if petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses of the case, in that case, prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail of the petitioner.

(Sanjay Priya, J)

JA/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

