

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.972 of 2016

- =====
1. Aman Peace Foundation Pachmaha Mohalla, Ward No. 3, Madhubani-847211, through its Secretary.
 2. Md. Tarique Rijavi, son of Late Mohammad Raza, Secretary, Aman Peace Foundation Raza Manjil, Batta Chowk, Ward No. 17, Suratganj, District-Madhubani.

.... Petitioners

Versus

1. The State of Bihar, through the Principal Secretary, Rural Development Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Commissioner, Self Employment, Rural Development Department, Govt. of Bihar at Patna.
3. The Director, Self Employment, Rural Development Department, Govt. of Bihar at Patna.
4. The District Magistrate, Madhubani.
5. The Deputy Development Commissioner, Madhubani.
6. The District Rural Development Avrikan, Madhubani.
7. The Block Development Officer, Block- Harlakhi, District- Madhubani, The Block Development Officer, Block- Harlakhi, District- Madhubani.
8. The District Transport Officer-cum-Senior Officer-in-charge, Harlakhi, District - Madhubani.

.... Respondents

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioners : Mr. Sanjay Kumar Jha, Advocate
For the Respondents : Ms. Prakritita Sharma, AC to SC 25

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VIKASH JAIN

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 10-04-2018

Heard learned counsel for the petitioners as well as
learned counsel for the respondents.

2. The present writ petition has been filed for a direction
to the respondents for making payment of Rs. 4,83,030/- with interest
as a charge of training given by them on the direction of the Block
Development Officer, Harlakhi, Madhubani.

3. At the very outset, this Court takes note that the



petitioners have enclosed a copy of the letter dated 31.03.2015 (Annexure-9) issued by the District Rural Development Agency, Madhubani in which reference has been made to an order of the District Magistrate dated 07.12.2013 and pursuant to which all the claims of the petitioners under Skill Development Training were rejected as far back as on 14.12.2013 itself. Such order has however not been challenged by the petitioners in view of which no relief can be granted.

4. The writ petition stands dismissed.

(Vikash Jain, J)

B.T/Chandran

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	N.A.
Uploading Date	21.04.2018
Transmission Date	N.A.

