

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**Criminal Miscellaneous No.31469 of 2018**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-109 Year-2017 Thana- NAWADAH COMPLAINT CASE  
District- Nawada

=====

Vivek Chandra Ullaskar @ Vivek Chand Ullaskar S/o Kishundeo Ravodas,  
R/o Vill.- Rewra, P.S.- Kashicha , Distt.- Nawada.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.
2. Rubi Kumari W/o Vivek Chandra Ullaskar @ Vevek Chandra Ullaskar D/o Suresh Ravidas, R/o Vill- Rewra, P.S.- Kashichak, Distt.- Nawada at present Vill.- Ukaura, P.S.- Pakribarawan, Distt.- Nawada.

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

**Appearance :**

|                         |   |                                   |
|-------------------------|---|-----------------------------------|
| For the Petitioner      | : | Mr. Birendra Kumar, Advocate      |
| For the State           | : | Mr. Sri Rajeev Nayan, A.P.P.      |
| For opposite party no.2 | : | Mr. Rajesh Ranjan Kumar, Advocate |

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR SINGH**  
**ORAL ORDER**

- 3      18-06-2018              Heard learned counsel for the petitioner   learned APP for  
the State and learned counsel for the opposite party No.2.

The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in a case registered under Section 498A of the Indian Penal Code and  $\frac{3}{4}$  of Dowry Prohibition Act.

Allegation against the petitioner is of committing torture upon the victim due to non-fulfilment of demand of dowry.

It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioner that the petitioner has got no criminal antecedent. There is no allegation of tampering of witnesses alleged against the petitioner. The petitioner has falsely been implicated in the present case due to petty family dispute. The case is triable by the Magistrate. The



petitioner has relied upon the judgment of this Court in the case of Md. Naimul Haque Ansari @ Naimul Haque Ansari & Ors. Vs. The State of Bihar, reported in 2006(3) PLJR 182.

On behalf of the State and learned counsel for the opposite party No.2, it is submitted that the petitioner is named in the complaint case.

Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances, let the petitioner, above named, in the event of arrest/surrender before the learned court below within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- (Ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned S.D.J.M., Nawada in connection with Complaint Case No. 109 of 2017, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

**(Sudhir Singh, J)**

Narendra/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

