

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.21683 of 2011

=====

Ganesh Prasad Sah S/O Jageshwar Singh Resident Of Village-Sheikhpuradani, P.S- Keoti, District- Darbhanga.

... .. Petitioner/S

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar Through The Principal Secretary, Food And Supply Department, Government Of Bihar, Patna.
2. Deputy Development Commissioner, Darbhanga.
3. The District Magistrate, Darbhanga.
4. The Sub- Divisional Officer, Darbhanga.
5. The District Supply Officer, Darbhanga.
6. The Marketing Officer, Darbhanga.
7. The Block Development Officer, Keoti, Darbhanga.
8. The Block Development Officer, Keoti, Darbhanga.
9. The Block Supply Officer, Keoti, Darbhanga.
10. The Station House Officer, Keoti, Police Station, District- Darbhanga.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Sunil Kumar Karn, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Gautam Bose AAG 8

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VIKASH JAIN

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date : 29-10-2018

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned counsel for the respondents.

2. The present writ petition has been filed for quashing the order contained in memo no. 1597A dated 22.07.2011 issued under the signature of the Block Development Officer, Keoti by which the petitioner has been directed to deposit the rest amount of undistributed food grains lifted under the SGRY Scheme.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the Enquiry Commission headed by Hon'ble Mr. Justice Udai Sinha (Retired) has now submitted its report and any further action in relation to recovery of the value of undistributed



rice under the SGRY Scheme would abide by the decision of the State Government to be taken on the basis of such report.

4. In the above view of the matter, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks permission to withdraw the present petition with liberty to approach this Court afresh as and when the occasion arises in future.

5. Having regard to the stand of the petitioner, the writ petition stands disposed of with the aforesaid liberty.

6. Let the authorities refrain from taking coercive measures against the petitioner until decision is taken by the State Government, as aforesaid.

(Vikash Jain, J)

chandran

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	NA
Uploading Date	29.10.2018
Transmission Date	NA

