

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No 20989 of 2014

=====

1. Omkar Kumar Singh Son of Ram Bahadur Singh Resident of Village - Sagdaha,
P.S.-Khaira, Dist.-Jamui

2. Raju Rawat Son of Ramjee Rawat Resident of Village - Bihari, P.S. & Dist.-
Jamui

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through District Magistrate, Jamui

2. Principal Secretary, Revenue and Land Reforms Department, Government of
Bihar, Patna

3. Principal Secretary, General Administration Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna

4. Divisional Commissioner, Munger Division, Munger

5. District Magistrate-cum-Collector, Jamui

6. Sub Divisional Magistrate Sub Divisional Officer, Jamui

7. District Program Officer (Establishment), Jamui

8. Nazarat Deputy Collector, Jamui

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr Akash Chaturvedi, Advocate

For the State : Mr Anil Kr Singh, GP XXVI

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE MADHURESH PRASAD

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 14-08-2018

Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and the
respondent-State.

2 Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the
case for regularization of the petitioners is not being considered. As
per averments made in the writ petition, it is apparent that due to some
unfortunate reason, their case was rejected as they had not applied in
the prescribed proforma. It is submitted by the learned counsel for the
petitioners that the process of regularization is ongoing in the district



of Jamui which is not controverted by the learned counsel for the State.

3 In view of such situation, the petitioners would be at liberty to make their application afresh on the prescribed proforma/format.

4 In the event, the same is done, it is needless to say that their case would merit consideration subject to petitioners fulfilling the requisite conditions.

5 Writ petition is disposed of.

(Madhuresh Prasad, J)

M.E.H./-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	NA
Uploading Date	16.08.2018
Transmission Date	NA

