

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.21301 of 2011

=====

Kumari Mahima Goswami W/O Chandan Kumar Giri And D/O Goswami
Hari Govind Parbat Resident Of Village Chapraitha, P.S. Rasoolpur, Dist.
Saran (Chapra).

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. The Principal Secretary, Human Resources Development Department, Govt.
Of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Director, Primary Education, Bihar, Patna.
4. The District Magistrate Gopalganj.
5. The District Programme Officer, Gopalganj.
6. The Member, District Teacher Employment Appellate Authority, Gopalganj.
7. The Block Development Officer, Kuchaikat, Gopalganj.
8. The Block Education Extension Officer, Kuchaikat, Gopalganj.
9. The Mukhiya, Gram Panchayat Raj Sanhula, Kuchaikat, Gopalganj.
10. The Panchayat Secretary, Sonhul Gram Panchayat, Kuchaikat, Gopalganj.
11. Madhumala W/O Binod Prasad Resident Of Village Jagarnathpur, P.O.
Sonhula, P.S. Gopalpur, Dist. Gopalganj.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Amar Nath Singh
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Prabhakar Tekriwal Gal

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR UPADHYAY
ORAL ORDER

3 07-09-2018 From the order dated 16.04.2012, it appears that this

matter was listed on different dates but no one appeared on

behalf of the petitioner to assist the Court.

Under the compelling circumstances, today this case
has been listed under the heading “for dismissal” to provide one
more opportunity to the counsel for the petitioner to assist the
court. Unfortunately, today also no one appears on behalf of the
petitioner to argue the case.

It appears from the order dated 16.04.2012 that this



Court has passed order for listing the present case after disposal of LPA No. 1914 of 2011. The said LPA was preferred by the respondent no.11 and the same was allowed vide order dated 6.7.2012.

In view of the order passed in LPA No. 1914 of 2011 whereby the order of the District Teachers Employment Appellate Authority was quashed by the LPA Court, no indulgence can be granted to the petitioner in the present proceeding. Accordingly, the present writ application is dismissed as devoid of merit.

(Anil Kumar Upadhyay, J)

Ravi/-

U			
---	--	--	--

