

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.8609 of 2011

=====

Yamuna Prasad, S/O Late Naurangi Mahto R/O Village & P.O.- Narainpur, P.S.-
Ekanger Sarai, District- Nalanda, Retired Headmaster Middle School, Haidar Chak,
Islampur, P.S.- Islampur, District- Nalanda

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Director, Primary Education, Government of Bihar, Patna
3. District Magistrate cum Collector, Nalanda
4. District Superintendent of Education, Nalanda
5. The Accountant General, Bihar, Patna.
6. The District Programme Officer (Establishment), Nalanda

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Rewti Kant Raman, Advocate
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr. Yogendra Pd. Sinha, AAG 7
		Mr. Rajeev Kumar Sinha, AC to AAG 7
For A.G., Bihar	:	Mr. Satyendra Kumar Jha, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR UPADHYAY

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 19-06-2018

Learned counsel for the petitioner is permitted to
implead the District Programme Officer (Establishment) Nalanda as
respondent No. 6.

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and State.

It appears from order dated 13.11.2017 that only two
grievances of the petitioner was pending before the respondents with
regard to bonus of G.P.F. since 1986 and the other is for grant to
Senior Selection Grade Scale to the petitioner from 01.04.2003.
Subsequently in order dated 02.01.2018, it was admitted that only
issue remained to be addressed is interest amount of G.P.F.



subscription.

In view of the limited issue, which is pending for last seven years, the Court is not inclined to grant time to either of the parties to file affidavit to keep the matter pending.

In the totality of the facts situation, the Court directs the petitioner to file exhaustive representation before the Respondent No. 6, the District Programme Officer (Establishment) Nalanda within a period of 15 days from today. The District Programme Officer (Establishment) Nalanda is required to ensure payment of admissible pay scale and interest of provident fund within a period of 3 months from the date of filing of such representation. In the event, the grievance of the petitioner is not redressed within the time frame indicated hereinabove, liberty shall be available to the petitioner to file petition for revival of the writ petition.

With the aforesaid, the writ petition stands disposed of.

(Anil Kumar Upadhyay, J)

Uday/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	NA
Uploading Date	20.06.2018
Transmission Date	20.06.2018

