

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Criminal Appeal (SJ) No.936 of 2018

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -12 Year- 2018 Thana -HISUA District- NAWADA

=====

1. Rakesh Kumar @ Karu Singh son of Sri Sharan Singh
2. Naresh Singh S/o Late Kulo Singh
3. Chandan Kumar S/o Sri Krishnadev Singh @ Kiro Singh
4. Bipin Singh S/o Sri Sharan Singh
5. Abhimanyu Kumar S/o Sri Bholi Singh All resident of village - Dayali Bigha,
P.S. Hisua, District - Nawada.

.... Appellant/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.

.... Respondent/s

with

=====

Criminal Appeal (SJ) No. 965 of 2018

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -12 Year- 2018 Thana -HISUA District- NAWADA

=====

1. Gorelal Singh Son of Sri Aditya Singh Resident of Village- Dayali Bigha, P.S.
Hisua, District- Nawada.

.... Appellant/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

(In CR. APP (SJ) No.936 of 2018)

For the Appellant/s : Mr. Rajesh Ranjan Kumar

For the Respondent/s : Mr. Sadanand Paswan (SPP 246)

(In CR. APP (SJ) No.965 of 2018)

For the Appellant/s : Mr. Rajesh Ranjan Kumar

For the Respondent/s : Ms. Usha Kumari No. 1, SPP 247)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE BIRENDRA KUMAR

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 19-04-2018

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

This is an appeal under Section 14(A)(2) of the
Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities)



Act, 1989 against the refusal of prayer for anticipatory bail by the learned 1st Additional Sessions Judge-cum-Special Judge, Nawada, in connection with Hisua Police Station Case No. 12 of 2018 registered under Sections 341/323/354B/307/504/34 of the Indian Penal Code and Sections 3(i) (r) of the Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, 1989 as well as Section 27 of the Arms Act.

A dispute between the two groups had taken place due to defeat in a cricket match between the parties a few days ago and that was reason for the present occurrence; where it is alleged that the appellants had committed abuse and assault and attempted to outrage the modesty of the informant.

Considering the aforesaid facts, let the appellants, above named, in the event of their arrest or surrender before the Court below within a period of thirty days from the date of receipt of the order, be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 20,000/- (Twenty Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Court-below where the case is pending in connection with the aforesaid case, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure as well as condition that the appellants shall fully cooperate with the investigation/trial of the case, failing which the court below shall be at



liberty to cancel the bail bond of the appellants.

Accordingly, the impugned order is set aside and the appeal is allowed.

(Birendra Kumar, J.)

Rakhi

AFR/NAFR	
CAV DATE	N.A.
Uploading Date	21.04.2018
Transmission Date	21.04.2018

