

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.8751 of 2018

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -75 Year- 2017 Thana -SINGHIYA District- SAMASTIPUR

=====

Mukesh Kumar Yadav, Son of Mahesh Kumar Yadav, Resident of Village-
Bishariya Keothar, P.S. Singhiya, District Samastipur(Bihar).

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Vinay Kumar Mishra

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Sri Lakshmi Kant Sharma

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJEEV RANJAN
PRASAD
ORAL ORDER

2 20-02-2018 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

The petitioner apprehends his arrest in connection
with Singhiya P.S. Case No.75 of 2017 registered under Sections
363, 366(A) and 120B of the Indian Penal Code.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that so far
as the present petitioner is concerned, he has not played any active
role in kidnapping of the victim girl. He further submits that only
motorcycle of the petitioner was used by the named accused with
whom the victim girl was gone.

On the other hand, learned APP for the State submits
that from copy of the 164 Cr.P.C. statement of the victim girl
which has been provided by the learned counsel for the petitioner



in course of hearing it would appear that not only the motorcycle was provided by this petitioner but he also participated in kidnapping of the victim girl as it appears from the said statement.

Considering the facts and circumstances of the case particularly that the name of this petitioner has transpired in 164 Cr.P.C. statement of victim girl from which it appears that the petitioner has also participated at the time of kidnapping of victim girl, I am not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

The application is dismissed.

A copy of the 164 Cr.P.C. statement of victim girl provided to the Court will form part of the record.

(Rajeev Ranjan Prasad, J)

Arvind/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

