

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.8905 of 2018

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-37 Year-2016 Thana- PIRO District- Bhojpur

Narendra Singh, S/o Balkeshwar Singh, R/o Village- Hardiya, P.S.- Tarari,
District- Bhojpur at Ara.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ajay Kumar Tiwari, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Rajendra Singh Shastriji, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY PRIYA
ORAL ORDER

3 22-03-2018 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the State.

The petitioner apprehends arrest in Piro P.S. Case No. 37 of 2016 instituted for the offence under Sections 376,511 of the IPC.

Counsel for the petitioner has submitted that police after investigation submitted final form against this petitioner under Section 376 and 511 of the IPC. The Court below after deferring with the final form took cognizance against this petitioner under Section 354(A) (B) of the IPC. It has been further submitted that the complainant has lodged similar case vide Piro P.S. Case No. 320 of 2014, prior to lodging of the instant case against the petitioner.

In such circumstances, prayer for anticipatory bail is allowed and it is ordered that the petitioner, named above, in the



event of his arrest or surrender in the court below within six weeks from the date of receipt/production of copy of this order, shall be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each in connection with Piro P.S. Case No. 37 of 2016 to the satisfaction of the learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Bhojpur at Ara, subject to condition as laid down u/S 438 (2) of the Cr. P.C. with further conditions (bailors should be local having sufficient immovable property within the jurisdiction of the court concerned, (2) petitioner shall cooperate in the trial and shall be present on each and every dated fixed by the court and absence on two consecutive dates without proper and reasonable reason, will automatically cancel bail bonds of the petitioner and (3) if the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses of the case, in that case, prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail of the petitioner.

(Sanjay Priya, J)

khushbu/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

