

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.12369 of 2018

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -263 Year- 2017 Thana -PARSABAZAR District- PATNA

=====

1. Arbind Paswan, Son of Badu Paswan, resident of Village- Sakraicha,
P.S.- Parsa Bazar, District- Patna.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Anurag Anand, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Narendra Kumar Singh, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY PRIYA
ORAL ORDER

2/ 08-03-2018

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned

APP for the State.

Petitioner apprehends his arrest in **Parsa Bazar P.S.**

Case No.263 of 2017 instituted for the offence under Section(s)
302/34 Indian Penal Code and Section 27 of the Arms Act.

Counsel for the petitioner has submitted that in the
written report there is specific allegation against co-accused,
Subodh Paswan, of causing fire arm injury to the son of the
informant, namely, Avinash Kumar, which hit in his neck and
became seriously injured and died while being taken to PMCH.

In the facts and circumstances of the case, prayer of
the petitioner for grant of anticipatory bail is allowed. In the
event of surrender/arrest of the petitioner, named above, within
six weeks from today in connection with **Parsa Bazar P.S. Case**



No.263 of 2017, he shall be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the **Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate-cum-CBI IInd, Patna**, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) Cr. P. C. with further conditions: (1) bailors should be local having sufficient immovable property within the jurisdiction of the court concerned, (2) petitioner shall cooperate in the trial and shall be present on each and every date fixed by the court and his absence on two consecutive dates without proper and reasonable reason will be liable to cancel his bail bond and (3) if petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses of the case, in that case, prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail of the petitioner.

(Sanjay Priya, J)

JA/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

