

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.33862 of 2017

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -20 Year- 2017 Thana -AGIAUN District- BHOJPUR

=====

Ravi Prakash Singh @ Chunnu Singh, Son of Mrityunjay Singh, R/o
Village- Sahangi, P.S.- Agiaon (Garhani), District- Bhojpur.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Madheshwar Singh

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Sri Sakir Ahmad

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY PRIYA
ORAL ORDER

9 04-01-2018 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the State.

The petitioner apprehends his arrest in **Agiaon (Garhani) P.S. Case No. 20 of 2017** instituted for the offence under Sections 341, 342, 307, 34 of the Indian Penal Code and Section 27 of the Arms Act.

As per written report, there is specific allegation against this petitioner of causing firearm injury on the son of the informant below his eye and he became unconscious.

The injury report has been enclosed as Annexure-2 issued from Sadar Hospital, Ara, wherein it is mentioned that bullet injury on the left side cheek was found on the person of the injured and the patient was refereed to PMCH.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that



no injury report of PMCH has been made available in the case diary. The requisition was sent from this Court for sending the injury report from PMCH. The report of Medical Superintendent of PMCH has been received in which it is mentioned that the injured got treatment in the Private Hospital. It is also mentioned in the report of Medical Superintendent that all the documents with regard to injury report of the injured was with S.I. Jitendra Singh who has not furnished the same in the case diary.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case. The police did not examine the injured under Section 161 Cr. P.C. during investigation. The injured also did not appear before the PMCH which falsify the case of the prosecution.

This Court finds that there is specific allegation against the petitioner as stated above.

It is mentioned in paragraph-3 of the bail petition that petitioner has criminal antecedent.

Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

Prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner stands rejected.



Petitioner may surrender before the court below and make prayer for regular bail which shall be considered/disposed off by the court below in accordance with law without being prejudiced by this order.

S.Ali/-

(Sanjay Priya, J)

U		T	
---	--	---	--

