

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.27024 of 2018

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-298 Year-2016 Thana- MADHUBANI COMPLAINT CASE
District- Madhubani

=====

Pappu Mukhiya, Son of Yugal Mukhiya, Resident of Village-Tamuriya, P.S.-
Lakhnaur, District-Madhubani.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Budhiyar Devi, Wife of Pappu Mukhiya, Resident of Village-Tamuriya, P.S.-
Lakhnaur, District-Madhubani. At Present R/o Budhiyar Devi, D/o Late Lal
Bahadur Mukhiya, Resident of Village-Bheja, P.S. Bheja, District-Madhubani.

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Manish Kumar No 13
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Rajesh Kumar

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 02-05-2018 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in a case registered under Sections 323, 342, 504, 379, 498A of the Indian Penal Code and $\frac{3}{4}$ of Dowry Prohibition Act, but cognizance has been taken under Sections 323, 379, 498A of the I.P.C. and $\frac{3}{4}$ of Dowry Prohibition Act.

Allegation against the petitioner is of committing torture upon the victim due to non-fulfilment of demand of dowry.

It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioner that the petitioner has got no criminal antecedent. There is no allegation of tampering of witnesses alleged against the petitioner. The



petitioner has falsely been implicated in the present case due to petty family dispute. The case is triable by the Magistrate. The petitioner has relied upon the judgment of this Court in the case of Md. Naimul Haque Ansari @ Naimul Haque Ansari & Ors. Vs. The State of Bihar, reported in 2006(3) PLJR 182.

On behalf of the State, it is submitted that the petitioner is named in the complaint case.

Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances, let the petitioner, above named, in the event of arrest/surrender before the learned court below within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- (Ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned S.D.J.M., Jhanjharpur, Madhubani in connection with C.R. case No.298 of 2016 corresponding to T.R. No.3772 of 2017, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

(Sudhir Singh, J)

Narendra/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

